IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1679 OF 2004
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.588 OF 1992

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's Orders	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr.Sandeep Waghmare h/f Mr.V.L.Kankaria for applicant
Ms A.R.S.Baxi for respondent Nos.1(a) to 1(e)
Mr.G.S.Godbole for respondent no.3

CORAM : P.V.KAKADE, J.
DATE : 12TH AUGUST, 2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr.Sandeep Waghmare holding for Mr.Kankaria for the applicant and Ms Baxi for the respondent Nos.1(a) to 1(e) and Mr.G.S.Godbole for respondent no.3.

2. Evidently the Second Appeal No.588 of 1992 is admitted in the year 1992 itself and this application for injunction against the respondent no.3 is moved in 2004. There is absolutely no reason

mentioned that why the delay is caused, especially when the respondent no.3 who was not party to the original dispute. In view of this aspect the application for injunction against the respondent no.3 cannot be entertained. The contents of the application also do not reveal as to why such interim emergent relief is required after the period of 12 years. Therefore, in view of the facts and circumstances the application is dismissed with no order as to costs.